

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,921	02/28/2002	James E. Harman	LDP-8103	1164	
7590 01/12/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	D GREENBERG, P.A.		MAH, CHUCK Y		
POST OFFICE BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3676		
	•				

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Annthomato		┼
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	^	1
Advisory Action	10/085,921	HARMAN, JAMES E.	17	<u>!</u>
	Examiner Chuck Mah	Art Unit 3676		
The MAN INC DATE of this communication on the				_
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			55	
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to places the application	n in	t
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet of the first part of the first process of the first purposes. The first purpose is a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approporiginally set in the final Of	riate exte fice actio	ension on; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);		
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simp	lifying	the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.		
NOTE:				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed an	nendm	ent
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT p	olace th	ıe
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were r	ewly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,2,4,5 and 7-24</u> .				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 25-27.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by tl	he Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).			
10. Other:	, , , , , , , ,	_		
_ -				
		Primary Examiner		
		Art Unit: 3676		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art "made of three pieces that lock permanently" does not exclude the capability of being reversed to three pieces separately. Prior art meets the invention as claimed..